


# Coseismic fault-propagation folding on the Sulaiman Fold and Thrust belt



(1) Muhammad Tahir Javed , (2) Sylvain Barbot, (3) Farhan Javed, (1) Carla Braitenberg, (4) Aamir Ali

(1) Department of Mathematics and Geosciences, University of Trieste, Italy, (2) Department of Earth Sciences, University of Southern California, USA, (3) Centre for Earthquake Studies, National Centre for Physics,, Pakistan, (4) Department of Earth Sciences, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan




PRESENTED AT:




## METHODS

- Complex fault geometry causes shortening in the hanging wall that is accommodated by flexural slip
- Ascending and descending interferograms: 45 and 50 mm along LOS with average residuals of the order of 2.0 mm for both interferograms
- Fault dip, strike, length, width, rake, slip, epicenter, and depth from inverting LOS displacement through Bayesian inversion (GBIS) approach (Bagnardi & Hooper, 2018).
- Based on the fold-and-thrust tectonic environment, we investigate two relevant end-member – double fault-bend folding, and fault-propagation folding.
- The fault-bend fold model includes slip on the decollement-ramp-decollement system and flexural slip along two active axial surfaces initiated at the fault bends. For the fault-propagation fold, the model includes slip on the decollement-ramp system and flexural slip on the largest active axial surface, which is aligned with the hinge of




## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- For fault-propagation folding and double fault-bend, the coseismic slip is entirely contained on the frontal ramp, with the maximum slip of 50 cm found at 6.5 km depth.
- For both models, virtually no slip takes place on the shallower or deeper décollements. The model produces up to 24 cm of flexural slip along the deeper axial surface (axial surface 1), concentrated near the nucleation area to the north. There is virtually no flexural slip on the shallow axial surface (axial surface 2).
- The two models perform almost equally well in terms of data reduction, but coseismic slip seems entirely confined to the ramp. As only the ramp and the lower axial surface exhibit much deformation, Occam's razor and the principle of parsimony suggest that the fault-propagation fold model, with much fewer degrees of freedom, is more meritorious.
- The amount of flexural slip in the fault-propagation fold model represents 70% of the expected value for coseismic folding. The remaining fraction may occur later in the postseismic period, when folding may also propagate up-dip of the axial surface through the entire sedimentary stack.



# CONCLUSIONS



- The 2015 Mw 5.7 Dajal, Pakistan earthquake represents the seismic rupture of a frontal blind ramp of the SFT, presumably as the seismic expression of a fault-propagation fold.
- The earthquake illuminates the possible extension of the BT 30 km south of the Zindapir anticlinorium where it breaks the surface, corresponding to the propagation of the SFT some 30 km east into the Miocene and younger sediments of the Indus River floodplain.
- Despite this short period of observation, flexural slip is tantamount to 70% of the expected value for coseismic folding, indicating strong mechanical coupling and synchronicity between faulting and folding at the time scales of the seismic cycle.